







UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/650,709	05/20/1996	DETLEF ALBIN	7693-002-0	2931
22850	7590 10/23/2002			
OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT PC FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY			EXAMINER	
			DEXTER, CLARK F	
ARLINGTON	I, VA 22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 10/23/2002	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. **08/650,709**

Applicant(s)

Albin et al.

Examiner

Clark F. Dexter

Art Unit **3724**



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period 1	for Reply						
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In (
mailing	date of this communication.						
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) e application to beco	MONTHS f me ABAND	rom the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 22, 20	002	· · ·	<u> </u>			
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This act	ion is non-final					
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4) 🗶	Claim(s) 2, 12, 13, 18, and 28-30			is/are pending in the application.			
4	(a) Of the above, claim(s) 2, 12, 13, 18, and 30			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		<u> </u>	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 28 and 29			is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	ition Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepte	ed or b)	\square objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be he	ld in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is	: a) □ a	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office ac	tion.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)💢	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 3	5 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)💢 None of:						
	1. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	e been receive	ed.				
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been receive	d in App	olication No			
*0	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bures	au (PCT Rule 1	17.2(a)).	_			
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	•					
14) 📙	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
15) ∐ Attachm		priority under	30 U.S.	C. 33 120 and/or 121.			
Attachm 1) No	lent(s) otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	ımmarv (PT)	0-413) Paper No(s)			
_	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_		nt Application (PTO-152)			
3) 🔲 Inf	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						

Application/Control Number: 08/650,709 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed July 22, 2002 has been entered. It is noted that in view of the new amendment practice under 37 CFR 1.121 which became mandatory for all amendments on March 1, 2001, and due to the limited amount of examining time per application, if the amendment contains changes to existing language that requires a marked-up version showing those changes, the Examiner is relying upon the marked-up version(s) for examination of the application. It is applicant's responsibility to ensure that the clean version(s) is (are) the same as the marked-up version(s). It is further noted that the clean version(s) is (are) considered to be the Official version(s).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 28, lines 8-9, the recitation "upstream in a conveying direction of a layer of hydrous polymer gel to be cut at the nip" renders the claim vague and indefinite since the invention is being positively defined in terms of the work piece which is not part of the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 08/650,709

Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 28, as understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wilson, pn 4,162,709.

Wilson discloses a cutting device with every structural limitation of the claimed invention including a first cutting roll (e.g., 100) having at least one axially extending cross cutting element (e.g., 106) including a cutting edge, and a back-up roll (e.g., 78); wherein a smallest distance between said cutting edge of the at least one cross cutting element and said back-up roll is situated below the crown of the back-up roll (e.g., see Figure 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were

Application/Control Number: 08/650,709 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claim 29, as understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Wilson, pn 4,162,709.

Wilson discloses a cutting device with every structural limitation of the claimed invention including a circumferentially extending cutting element (e.g., 108).

In the alternative, if it is argued that Wilson does not disclose a cutting element that extends completely around the circumference, the Examiner takes Official notice that such cutting elements are old and well known in the art for various known benefits including providing a complete slitting of a workpiece. For example, if one having ordinary skill in the art wanted to cut square pieces of sod with a side dimension equal to half the width of the sod, that person would clearly provide a fourth cross cutting element (106) and extend the circumferential cutting element (108) around the entire circumference of the first cutting roller to form such a pattern. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the first cutting roller of Wilson by extending the circumferential cutting element to extend around the complete circumference of the first cutting roller for the various known benefits including that described above.

Application/Control Number: 08/650,709 Page 5

Art Unit: 3724

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed July 2, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Examiner respectfully submits that Wilson teaches and/or suggests every structural limitation of the claimed invention as best understood from the claims.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clark Dexter whose telephone number is (703) 308-1404. The examiner's typical work schedule is Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday, and he can be reached during normal business hours on these days.

Art Unit: 3724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Allan Shoap, can be reached at (703)308-1082.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148. The fax numbers for this group are: formal papers - (703)305-3590; informal/draft papers - (703)305-9835.

Clark F. Dexter Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724

cfd October 21, 2002